



HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY  
Intellectual Property Administration  
P. O. Box 272400  
Fort Collins, Colorado 80527-2400

PATENT APPLICATION

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 200400111-1

IN THE  
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Inventor(s): Martin Sielaff, et al.

Confirmation No.: 1241

Application No.: 09/922,709

Examiner: Martin, N. A.

Filing Date: 08/07/2001

Group Art Unit: 2154

Title: RULES-BASED DECISION ENGINE

Mail Stop Amendment  
Commissioner for Patents  
PO Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TRANSMITTAL LETTER FOR RESPONSE/AMENDMENT

Sir:

Transmitted herewith is/are the following in the above-identified application:

- (X) Response/Amendment ( ) Petition to extend time to respond  
( ) New fee as calculated below ( ) Supplemental Declaration  
( ) No additional fee  
( ) Other: \_\_\_\_\_ (fee \$ \_\_\_\_\_)

CLAIMS AS AMENDED BY OTHER THAN A SMALL ENTITY						
(1) FOR	(2) CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT	(3) NUMBER EXTRA	(4) HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	(5) PRESENT EXTRA	(6) RATE	(7) ADDITIONAL FEES
TOTAL CLAIMS	24	MINUS	24	= 0	X \$50	\$ 0
INDEP. CLAIMS	6	MINUS	6	= 0	X \$200	\$ 0
[ ] FIRST PRESENTATION OF A MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM					+ \$360	\$ 0
EXTENSION FEE	1ST MONTH \$120.00	2ND MONTH \$450.00	3RD MONTH \$1020.00	4TH MONTH \$1590.00		\$ 0
OTHER FEES						\$
TOTAL ADDITIONAL FEE FOR THIS AMENDMENT						\$ 0

Charge \$ 0 to Deposit Account 08-2025. At any time during the pendency of this application, please charge any fees required or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account 08-2025 pursuant to 37 CFR 1.25. Additionally please charge any fees to Deposit Account 08-2025 under 37 CFR 1.16 through 1.21 inclusive, and any other sections in Title 37 of the Code of Federal Regulations that may regulate fees. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Date of Deposit: 01/25/2005

Typed Name: David Castro

Signature: 

Respectfully submitted,

Martin Sielaff, et al.

By 

James P. Hao

Attorney/Agent for Applicant(s)  
Reg. No. 36,398

Date: 01/25/2005



HP 200400111-1

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of	)	Group Art Unit: 2154
	)	
Sielaff, Martin, et al.	)	Examiner: Martin, Nicholas A
	)	
Serial No. 09/922,709	)	
	)	
Filed: August 7, 2001	)	
	)	
For: RULES-BASED	)	
DECISION ENGINE	)	
_____	)	

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA. 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In the Office Action mailed January 05, 2005, the Examiner has stated that the present Patent Application contains claims directed to three distinct inventions; Invention I, Invention II and Invention III.

Specifically, the Examiner contends that the first invention, Invention I, is represented by Claims 1-2 and is drawn to a system for providing information to a client computer in a client/server environment, classified in class 709, subclass 228. The Examiner further contends that the second invention, Invention II, is represented by Claims 3-23 and is drawn to a rules evaluation system executing actions, classified in class 706, subclass 18. The examiner additionally contends that the third invention, Invention III, is represented by Claim 24 and is drawn to a rules engine processing files, classified in class 706, subclass 20. As such, the Examiner has required Applicants to elect an invention for prosecution on the merits.

ELECTION WITHOUT TRAVERSE BETWEEN  
INVENTION I and INVENTION II and INVENTION III

Applicants elect without traverse the second invention, Invention II, represented by Claims 3-23 and drawn to a rules evaluation system executing actions, classified in class 706, subclass 18. Thus, Applicants elect Claims 3-23 without traverse.